

THE NONABELIAN BAR RESOLUTION¹

LUCIAN M. IONESCU

ABSTRACT. We develop the theory of parity quasi-complexes (PQC), preparing the set up for defining derived functors using resolutions in the nonabelian case.

A homotopy structure on the category of PQC is defined, yielding a 2-category structure. The nonabelian homology functor factors through the corresponding homotopy category.

Following the relative homological algebra approach, resolutions are defined as PQC having parity contracting homotopies in a suitable category.

A canonical non-abelian PQC resolution for groups is defined.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Resolutions and homotopies	2
3. The category of parity quasicomplexes	3
4. The homotopy structure	4
5. The nonabelian bar resolution	6
6. Schreier theory revisited	8
References	9

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to study parity quasicomplexes from the homotopical point of view, and to prepare the set up for constructing derived functors on nonadditive functors using parity resolutions. These are defined in homotopical terms, as weak equivalences in the category of parity quasicomplexes. The homotopy structure is defined such that weak equivalences are quasi-isomorphisms with respect to the nonabelian homology functor.

The natural context is that of relative homological algebra, adapted to the nonadditive case by considering parity quasi-complexes (PQC, [I1]). The relevance of PQC's in relation with nonabelian cohomology was noticed in [I1] (see also [I3]).

More specifically, PQC resolutions are defined as PQC having (parity) contracting homotopies in a suitable category (4.2). A non-abelian bar “resolution” for groups is defined 5.1. It has a canonical parity contracting homotopy (theorem 5.1).

The usual notion of complex ($d^2 = 0$), does not have a suitable analog in the nonabelian case, and more general sequences are considered.

Date: 01/01; ¹ presented at the AMS meeting - Lawrence, KS, March 30-31, 2001.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 18-G55, 18-G10; Secondary: 18-G25.

Key words and phrases. Homotopical algebra, bar resolution, nonabelian derived functors.

The notions of exactness and resolution, equivalent in the context of a resolvent pair ([ML], p.265) to the existence of a contracting homotopy ([ML], p.265), is adapted to PQC's. A PQC is exact if it has a parity contracting homotopy in the suitable “larger” category.

If homological algebra is based on factorization arguments due to the $d^2 = 0$ condition and lifting properties corresponding to a certain projective class (e.g. the standard class determined by projective objects and epimorphisms), the noncommutative case is “simpler”, and depends only on the class of weak equivalences (quasi-isomorphisms) in the sense of closed model categories. In such a context, a cofibrant chain complex with a weak equivalence to a trivial complex, is exactly a projective resolution ([DS], p.113).

We will construct a nonabelian bar resolution for a group G , as an object of the category of G -groups, having a (parity) contracting homotopy at the level of groups. Forgetting the group structure, group extensions split as pointed sets. The contracting homotopy allows the comparison of n -fold extensions of groups with the bar resolution, providing the correspondence with the group cohomology classes, as in the abelian case.

2. RESOLUTIONS AND HOMOTOPIES

We will fix the notation recalling some known facts, relevant from the point of view of homotopical algebra.

In this section $\mathcal{A}$ will denote an additive and exact category (e.g. $R\text{-mod}$), with the associated category of complexes $Ch(\mathcal{A})$ and homology functor H_0 .

If G is an object in $\mathcal{A}$ then $G_\bullet = K(G, 0)$ will denote the trivial complex concentrated in degree 0 (the chain analog of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces).

Let $X_\bullet \xrightarrow{\epsilon} G$ be an *augmented sequence* of morphisms $d_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, with $\epsilon \circ d_1 = 0$. Then $X_\bullet \xrightarrow{\epsilon} G_\bullet$ will denote the corresponding chain map, and $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{d}_\bullet)$ the corresponding sequence with $\tilde{X}_{-1} = G, \tilde{d}_{-1} = \epsilon$.

The chain map $\epsilon_\bullet$ is a *quasi-isomorphism* iff it induces an isomorphism $H_\bullet(\epsilon_\bullet)$ in homology. The chain map $\epsilon_\bullet$ has a *contracting homotopy* $(\tau_\bullet, s_\bullet)$ iff there exists a chain map $\tau_\bullet : G_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet$ which is a section of $\epsilon_\bullet$, i.e. $\epsilon_\bullet \tau_\bullet = id_{G_\bullet}$, together with a homotopy $s_\bullet : 1 \rightarrow \tau_\bullet \epsilon_\bullet$. ([ML], p.41).

We will extend $s_\bullet$ to $\tilde{s}_\bullet$, with the convention $\tilde{s}_{-1} = \tau$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\epsilon_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow G_\bullet$ be a chain map in $Ch(\mathcal{A})$, where $G_\bullet = K(G, 0)$.*

- 1) *The augmented sequence $(X_\bullet, \epsilon)$ is a resolution of G iff $\epsilon_\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*
- 2) *If $X_\bullet \xrightarrow{\epsilon} G$ is a projective resolution of G , then $\epsilon : X_0 \rightarrow G$ splits iff $\epsilon_\bullet$ has a contracting homotopy.*

We will adapt the mechanism of relative homological, where an exact sequence or a resolution (e.g. $R\text{-mod}$), has a contracting homotopy in a “larger category” (e.g. Ab). In the nonabelian case this property will replace the usual definition of an exact sequence (see 3.1). As an example, in the abelian case, the existence of a contracting homotopy in the “base” category ($\mathbb{Z}\text{-mod}$), allows the lifting of a given morphism to a chain transformation, yielding the “Comparison Theorem” ([ML], T 6.1, p.87) and the mechanism of derived functors based on resolutions.

3. THE CATEGORY OF PARITY QUASICOMPLEXES

Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{Sets}_*$ be the category of pointed sets, and $\mathcal{GS}$ the category of group objects in $\mathcal{S}$, i.e. the category of groups. Denote by $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{GS} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ the forgetful functor and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{GS}$ the free object functor. Then $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is a *resolvent pair of categories* [ML], p.265.

Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ be a fixed group and $\mathcal{C} = G - \mathcal{G}$ the category of G -groups, i.e. the category with objects (N, L) , where N is a group on which G acts through $L : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$, and with morphisms the G -equivariant group homomorphisms. If G is interpreted as a one-object category in the obvious way ([I2]), then $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of nonabelian modules in the categorical sense ($\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}_G, \mathcal{G})$). Let $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ the corresponding forgetful functor, and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the free object functor.

Lemma 3.1. *A sequence of morphisms $X = (X_{\bullet}, d_{\bullet})$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is exact iff $\mathcal{U}(X)$ has a contracting homotopy.*

Proof. Note that X is exact iff $U(X)$ is exact, and that any exact sequence in Sets_* splits. Apply now the analog of 2 from lemma 2.1. $\square$

Definition 3.1. Let $Ch^{\pm}(\mathcal{C})$ be the category of sequences of pairs of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, called *parity quasicomplexes* (PQC) [I1]:

$$\dots C_n \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\partial_n^+} \\ \xrightarrow[\partial_n^-]{\partial_n^+} \\ \xrightarrow{\partial_n^-} \end{array} C_{n-1} \dots \quad (3.1)$$

A *morphism of PQC* is a map $f \in \text{Hom}_0(X, Y)$ commuting with the parity differentials $\partial^{\pm}$.

A PQC $(X_{\bullet}, \partial_{\bullet})$ should rather be thought of as sequence of “2-morphisms” $\partial_n : \partial_n^- \rightarrow \partial_n^+$:

Definition 3.2. The n^{th} *homology space of a PQC*, is defined as the pointed set of cycles $Z_n(X)$, the equalizer of ∂_n^+ and ∂_n^- , modulo the equivalence relation defined as follows. If $x, y \in X_n$, then $x \sim y$ iff there exists $c \in X_{n+1}$ such that $\partial^+ c + x = y + \partial^- c$:

$$H_n^N(X) = \text{equal}(\partial^+, \partial^-) / \sim$$

$$x \stackrel{c}{\sim} y$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\partial^- c} & \\ x \downarrow & & \downarrow y \\ & \xrightarrow{\partial^+ c} & \end{array}$$

Since a morphism of PQCs commutes with both quasidifferentials, it induces as usual a morphism on homology.

Proposition 3.1. *A morphism of PQCs, f , induces functorially a morphism of pointed sets on the cohomology spaces, $H^N(f)$.*

In the above relation and in what follows, the additive notation is used but without assuming commutativity. Special care should be given when considering the opposite: $-(a -$

$b) = b - a$. To keep track of the order of the terms involved in a sum, categorical diagrams are sketched to depict the required “orientation”.

Parity quasicomplexes exhibit “curvature”, i.e. in general $\partial^2 \neq 0$. The usual notion of contracting homotopy is not sufficient, as the following lemma demonstrates.

Lemma 3.2. *An augmented sequence of groups $(X_\bullet, \partial)$, having a contracting homotopy s in Sets_* with image generating the groups X_i , is a complex: $\partial^2 = 0$.*

Proof. The usual proof [ML], p.268, yields in the nonabelian case:

$$\partial\partial s + \partial = \partial + s\partial\partial.$$

Since it is a positive sequence, inductively follows $\partial^2 s = 0$, and thus $\partial^2 = 0$. $\square$

The notion of homotopy appropriate for PQC should not “mix” the “positive and negative boundaries”, it should be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded version.

4. THE HOMOTOPY STRUCTURE

To define derived functors using resolutions, one needs that homotopic maps induce the same map in homology (localization). A useful homotopy should behave well with respect to composition of morphisms of PQC and functors (2-category structure).

To achieve this, it is natural to extend the principle of “separation of signs” to homotopies, and define a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded analog.

Definition 4.1. Let $f, g : (X, \partial^\pm) \rightarrow (Y, \partial^\pm)$ be morphisms of PQC. f is *parity homotopic* to g , and denoted $f \xrightarrow{s} g$ iff for all n , X_n is generated by the elements satisfying the following relations:

$$f + s\partial^- = \partial^+ s + g \tag{4.1}$$

$$s\partial^+ = \partial^- s. \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.1. The above addition is the “natural addition” of morphisms $(+_Y \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta_X)$. The “free addition” ([F2], p.211), consisting in extending uniquely a map defined on free generators (“through adjunction”), is used to define the parity differentials, which satisfy the parity homotopy relations only on generators. This explains the courser relation defined above, which is typical in the noncommutative case (see d.g. near-rings and pseudohomomorphisms: [F1, F2], [L] p.313).

Note also that the homotopy structure defined by the requirement that the above relations hold globally, still enjoys the properties stated below (transitive, compatible with H^N , etc.).

The homology functor (definition 3.2) will factor through the homotopy category ([H], p.126).

Lemma 4.1. *Parity homotopic morphisms $f \rightarrow g : X \rightarrow Y$ induce the same map in homology: $H^N(f) = H^N(g)$.*

Proof. If x is a cycle, i.e. $\partial^- x = \partial^+ x$, and $f \rightarrow g$, then:

$$\partial^- s x = s \partial^+ x = s \partial^- x,$$

so that:

$$f(x) + \partial^- sx = \partial^+ sx + g(x)$$

i.e. $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are homologous cycles in Y . □

There is a natural “vertical” composition of homotopies.

Lemma 4.2. *The parity homotopy is transitive.*

Proof. If

$$f + s\partial^- = \partial^+ s + g, \quad s\partial^+ = \partial^- s$$

and

$$g + t\partial^- = \partial^+ t + h, \quad t\partial^+ = \partial^- t$$

then

$$f + (s + t)\partial^- = \partial^+ s + g + t\partial^- = \partial^+(s + t) + h.$$

and

$$(s + t)\partial^+ = \partial^-(s + t).$$

□

Parity homotopy is distributive with respect to composition of morphisms.

Lemma 4.3. *If $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are parity homotopic $s : f \rightarrow g$, and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ ($h : Z \rightarrow X$), then $hs : hf \rightarrow hg$ ($sh : fh \rightarrow gh$) is a parity homotopy between hf and hg (fh and gh).*

Proof. We will prove left distributivity. Left multiply equations 4.1 by h . Since h commutes with the parity quasidifferentials, the statement follows. □

The compatibility with composition of PQC morphisms follows.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f', g' : Y \rightarrow Z$ be morphisms of PQC's. If $f \rightarrow g$ and $f' \rightarrow g'$ then $f'f \rightarrow g'g$.*

Proof. The parity homotopy relation $\sim$ is left and right distributive with respect to composition of PQC morphisms. Then $f'f \sim g'f \sim g'g$. □

The above facts can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *$Ch^\pm(\mathcal{C})$ is a 2-category, and the nonabelian homology functor H^N factors through the corresponding homotopy category.*

Definition 4.2. A *parity contracting homotopy* (PCH) is a map $s \in \text{End}_1(X)$, such that $0 \xrightarrow{s} id_X$:

$$s\partial^- = \partial^+ s + id_X \tag{4.3}$$

$$s\partial^+ = \partial^- s \tag{4.4}$$

A PQC X is called *exact* if it has a parity contracting homotopy. An augmented PQC $\epsilon : X_\bullet \rightarrow G$ is called a *PQC-resolution* of G if it is an exact PQC.

Corollary 4.2. *An exact PQC has trivial cohomology.*

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1, yields $0 = H^N(0_X) = H^N(id_X) = id_{H^N(X)}$. $\square$

The direct shows that, in an exact PQC, every cycle is a boundary having a canonical decomposition into a “positive” and a “negative” part.

Proposition 4.1. *If x is a cycle of an exact PQC, then it decomposes canonically into a positive and a negative boundary:*

$$x = \partial^+(-sx) - \partial^-(-s)x.$$

Proof. As before, $\partial^-x = \partial^+x$ in combination with (4.3):

$$s\partial^-x = \partial^+sx + x, \quad s\partial^+x = \partial^-sx,$$

yields $\partial^-sx = \partial^+sx + x$. Rearranging the terms gives the above relation. $\square$

We will prove in section 5 that the noncommutative bar resolution (§5) has a parity contracting homotopy.

5. THE NONABELIAN BAR RESOLUTION

The results are formulated for the category of groups as a typical nonadditive category. We are adapting the classical theory of derived functors based on projective resolutions, following [ML]. We are using the notation from [ML], p.114, with additive notation used for clarity. The relative homological algebra facts are adapted to the noncommutative case.

The major difference is that the noncommutative case requires a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of the usual bar resolution, i.e. a “separation” of the positive and negative terms (inverses, in the multiplicative case).

The free constructions specified bellow refer to the category of G -groups [F1], consisting of groups together with a group action, and equivariant group homomorphisms.

Definition 5.1. The (*nonabelian*) bar resolution of G is the PQC $(B_\bullet(G), \partial_\bullet^\pm)$:

$$\cdots \quad B_2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^+} \\ \xleftarrow{\partial_2^-} \end{array} B_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\partial_1^+} \\ \xleftarrow{\partial_1^-} \end{array} B_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \\ \xleftarrow{0} \end{array} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.1)$$

of G -groups $B_n(G)$ and G -equivariant group homomorphisms $\partial_n^\pm$, together with the augmentation ϵ , and the group homomorphisms e_n , defined below.

$B_n = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(G^n))$ is the free G -group with (G) -generators $[x_1|...|x_n]$ all n -tuples of elements $x_1, ..., x_n$ of G .

Operation on a generator with an element $x \in G$ yields an element $x[x_1|...|x_n]$ in B_n , so B_n may be described as the free group generated by all $x[x_1|...|x_n]$. In particular, B_0 is the free G -group on one generator $[]$, so it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}G$, $B_{-1} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $B_n = 1$ for $n < -1$.

The group homomorphisms e_n are defined by:

$$e_{-1}(1) = -[], \quad e_n(x[x_1|...|x_n]) = -[x|x_1|...|x_n], \quad n \geq 0.$$

Similar to the abelian case ([ML], theorem 6.3, p.268), the PQC structure maps are determined, if we require e_n to be a parity contracting homotopy. The negative sign included in

the definition of e_n will reverse the order of odd simplicial maps in the structure formula of ∂^- .

Theorem 5.1. *There are unique PQC structure morphisms $\partial^\pm$, such that $e_\bullet$ is a parity contracting homotopy in $\mathcal{G}$ for $(B_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$. They satisfy the following structural equations on group generators:*

$$\partial_n^+ = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ i \text{ even}}}^{\rightarrow} \partial_n^i \quad \partial_n^- = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ i \text{ odd}}}^{\leftarrow} \partial_n^i \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Since the image of e_i generates B_{i+1} , the relations 4.3 inductively define $\partial^\pm$.

To prove the stated formulas, note that on group generators, applying e after a simplicial map ∂^i yields $\partial^{i+1}s$:

$$\partial_{n+1}^{k+1}e_n = e_{n-1}\partial_n^k, k \geq 0, \quad \partial_{n+1}^0e_n = -id_n.$$

Then, on G -group generators, we have:

$$\partial_{n+1}^+([x, y_1, \dots, y_n]) = -\partial_{n+1}^+e_n(x[y]) = -[e_{n-1}\partial_n^- - id_n(x[y])],$$

and

$$e_{n-1}\partial_n^-(x[y]) = \dots + e\partial_n^3(x[y]) + e\partial_n^1(x[y]) \quad (5.3)$$

$$= \dots + \partial_n^4e(x[y]) + \partial_n^2e(x[y]) \quad (5.4)$$

$$= -(\partial_n^2 + \partial_n^4 + \dots)[x, y]. \quad (5.5)$$

Since $id_n = \partial_n^0e_{n-1}$, the formula for ∂_{n+1}^+ is established.

The computations for ∂_{n+1}^- are similar, and will be omitted. $\square$

The above G -equivariant group homomorphisms $\partial^\pm$ (compare [I1], p.6), are expressed in terms of the usual simplicial maps defined on G -generators by:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n^0[x_1 | \dots | x_n] &= x_1[x_2 | \dots | x_n], & \partial_n^n[x_1 | \dots | x_n] &= [x_1 | \dots | x_{n-1}] \\ \partial_n^i[x_1 | \dots | x_n] &= [x_1 | \dots | x_i x_{i+1} | \dots | x_n], \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

In particular $s_0(x[\]) = [x]$ and:

$$\partial_1^+[x] = x[\], \quad \partial_1^-[x] = [\], \quad \partial_2^+[x|y] = x[y] + [x], \quad \partial_2^-[x|y] = [xy]$$

Remark 5.1. We think of the sequence of pairs of maps as a “horizontal” (1-)sequence of 2-morphisms $\partial_n : \partial_n^- \rightarrow \partial_n^+$, in relation with the globular approach to weak n -categories.

Definition 5.2. The G -equivariant group homomorphism defined on generators by:

$$\partial_n([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = \partial_n^+([x_1, \dots, x_n]) - \partial_n^-([x_1, \dots, x_n]),$$

will be called the quasi-differential of the PQC $(X, \partial^\pm)$.

6. SCHREIER THEORY REVISITED

We reinterpret Schreier's theory of group extensions ([CC], p.198), in terms of the underlying contracting homotopy. The notation used is essentially that of [ML] (4.3, 4.4, p.111).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{E} & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{\chi} & E & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & & \parallel \text{ id} & \swarrow v & \parallel \text{ id} & \swarrow u & \parallel \text{ id} & & \\
 \mathcal{E} & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{\chi} & E & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & G & \longrightarrow & 1
 \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

The elements of B can be represented in a unique way as $b = \chi(a) + u(x)$, where $x = \sigma(b)$. Define $v(b) = a$, to have:

$$id_B = \chi \circ v + u \circ \sigma. \tag{6.2}$$

Relabeling: $s_0 = u, s_1 = v, d_1 = \sigma, d_2 = \chi$, $s_\bullet$ is a contracting homotopy in $Sets_*$, for the group extension $E_\bullet$:

$$id_E \sim 0 : \quad d_0 s_0 = id_G, \quad d_2 s_1 + s_0 d_1 = id_E, \quad s_1 d_2 = id_N$$

Remark 6.1. The contracting homotopy $s_\bullet$ defines a “adjoint” extension in $Sets_*$:

$$\mathcal{E}^* \quad 0 \longrightarrow G \xrightleftharpoons[\sigma]{u} E \xrightleftharpoons[\chi]{v} N \longrightarrow 1 \tag{6.3}$$

with a contracting homotopy $s_0^* = \chi, s_1^* = \sigma$ defined by the original extension.

The meaning of the factor set $f(x, y)$ of the extension is apparent when comparing the group extension with the bar resolution.

The correspondence between group extensions and cohomology classes of the bar resolution is established through $R - mod$ extensions ([ML] T 6.2, p.121).

The general case requires defining the characteristic extension at the level of $R - groups$, where $R = Z(G)$ is the distributively generated near-ring associated to G (citeF), and will be addressed elsewhere.

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 B(G) & B_3 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\partial_3^+} \\ \xleftarrow{\partial_3^-} \end{array} & B_2 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^+} \\ \xleftarrow{\partial_2^-} \end{array} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{ev} & G & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow g_2 & & \downarrow g_1 & & \parallel & & \\
 \mathcal{E} & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{d_2} & E & \xrightarrow{d_1} & G & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \swarrow s_1 & \swarrow s_0 & & & &
 \end{array} \tag{6.4}$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the above group extension, and the top row is a truncation of the bar resolution, “augmented” with ev , obtained lifting the group multiplication of G to B_1 , the free G -group generated by G . Left action of G is inner conjugation.

There is a unique G -equivariant lift of the section s , denoted $\tilde{s}$ (see theorem below). As in the classical case $g_2 e_0 = s_0 g_1 (\partial_2^+ - \partial_2^-)$ defines a G -equivariant chain map $d_2 g_2 = g_1 \partial_2$,

where ∂_2 is the G -equivariant morphism defined on generators as $\partial_2^+ - \partial_2^-$. Then, on group generators:

$$g_2([x, y]) = s(x) + s(y) - s(xy) = f(x, y)$$

is the factor set corresponding to the section s . g_2 is a 2-cocycle relative to the pseudoaction $L_x(e) = s(x) + e - s(x)$, induced by G on N :

$$g_2 \circ \partial_3^+ = g_2 \circ \partial_3^-,$$

relation which, in terms of the factor set f , takes the following form:

$$L_x(f(y, z)) + f(x, yz) = f(x, y) + f(xy, z), x, y, z \in G.$$

It is well known that L and f are compatible in the following sense:

$$L_x L_y(n) + f(x, y) = f(x, y) + L_{xy}(n), n \in N, x, y \in G. \quad (6.5)$$

The interpretation of this relation is given in the theorem below, which can be proved through a direct computation.

Theorem 6.1. *With the above notations, the following are equivalent:*

- 1) Equation 6.5 holds; 2) g_1 is G -equivariant; 3) $g_1 \circ \partial_3 \circ \partial_2 = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [CC] P. Carrasco, A. M. Cegarra: Group-theoretic algebraic models for homotopy types, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* (75) (1991), 195-235.
- [F1] Fröhlich, A., "Distributively generated near-rings. (I. Ideal theory)", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 8 (1958), p.76-108.
- [F2] Fröhlich, A., "On groups over a d.g. near-ring (II): categories and functors", *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2), 11 (1960), 211-228.
- [H] Hilton, P. J., Stammbach, U., *A course in homological algebra*, second edition, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, No. 4, Springer, 1997.
- [I1] L.M. Ionescu, On Parity Complexes and Nonabelian Cohomology, *math.CT/9808068*
- [I2] L.M. Ionescu, On Categorification, <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.CT/9906038>.
- [I3] L.M. Ionescu, Overview: non-abelian cohomology and parity quasi-complexes, <http://hopper.unco.edu/faculty/personal/ionescu/onpqc1.ps>.
- [L] Bob Lockhart, "Products on products on groups", *Nearrings, Nearfields and K-loops*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997, p.311-323.
- [ML] Saunders MacLane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1975.
- [DS] W.G.Dwyer and J.Spallinski, "Homotopy Theories and Model Categories", *Handbook of algebraic topology*, 73-126, edited by I.M. James, Elsevier, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN COLORADO, GREELEY, COLORADO 80639

E-mail address: `lmiones@fisher.unco.edu`